Parsons McEntire McCleary PLLC

1700 Pacific Ave., Ste. 4400 Dallas, Texas 75201 Tel. (214) 237-4300 Fax (214) 237-4340

Sawnie A. McEntire Director and Shareholder (214) 237-4303 smcentire@pmmlaw.com

March 8, 2023

Hon. Gregory H. Woods United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: U.S. Bank, National Association, in its capacity as Trustee ("U.S. Bank"), Joshua N. Terry ("Terry") and Acis Capital Management, L.P. ("Acis") v. The Charitable Donor Advised Fund, L.P. ("DAF"), CLO HoldCo Ltd. ("CLOH"), and NexPoint Diversified Real Estate Trust ("NexPoint"); No. 1:21-cv-11059 (GHW)

To the Honorable Gregory H. Woods, United States District Court:

The Court will hold a Status Conference on March 15, 2023. In anticipation of this Status Conference, counsel for the parties conferred on March 7 and 8, 2023. This letter serves as a Joint Status Report and is being filed in addition to the proposed Case Management Plan, which has been agreed to by the parties and is being filed concurrently with this Joint Status Report.

1. Brief Statement of the Nature of the Case

The Plaintiffs' statements are reflected in their pleadings and prior responses and briefing related to the Rule 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) proceedings.

The Defendants' statements are reflected in their prior motions and briefing related to the 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) proceedings. The Defendants have not

answered and reserve the right to assert additional factual and legal defenses, as well as affirmative defenses. The Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to the declaratory relief they seek. Without limiting the foregoing, Defendants DAF and CLOH deny that the so-called HCLOF Settlement applies and these Defendants further deny that they have released any claims, and also deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to declaratory relief under Guernsey law.

2. Statement Regarding Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Venue

The Plaintiffs' position concerning subject matter jurisdiction is reflected in their pleadings, prior responses and briefing related to the 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) proceedings. Plaintiffs note that the Court issued a decision dated Feb. 23, 2023 (Dkt. No. 69, the "MTD Decision") denying Defendants' motion to dismiss pursuant to Section 12(b)(1) for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiffs disagree with Defendants' statement herein that the declaratory judgment claim against NexPoint is moot based on the Court's decision resolving the case captioned NexPoint Diversified Real Estate Trust v. Acis Capital Management, L.P., et al., No. 1:21-CV-04384-GHW, 2022 WL 3214251 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 9, 2022) (the "NexPoint Federal Action") or that there is any question remaining regarding this Court's subject matter jurisdiction in light of the MTD Decision. Plaintiffs also disagree that NexPoint's filing of NexPoint Diversified Real Estate trust v. Acis Capital Management, L.P., et al., Index No. 653654/2022 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (the "NexPoint NYS Action") more than seven months after Plaintiffs filed the amended complaint in this lawsuit adding a claim against NexPoint supports abstention by this Court under any applicable abstention doctrine. Several of the parties in this case (U.S. Bank, DAF, and CLOH) are not parties to the NexPoint NYS Action. Plaintiffs further note that Defendants have not identified what specific abstention doctrine they claim applies to this case. If and when Defendants identify any purportedly applicable abstention doctrine, Plaintiffs will address Defendants' specific arguments at that time.

The Defendants' position concerning subject matter jurisdiction is reflected in their prior Motions and briefing related to the 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) proceedings. Due to the orders in the NexPoint Federal Action, much of the declaratory judgment claim appears to be moot as to NexPoint, raising questions as to the Court's subject matter jurisdiction. And due to the pendency of the NYS Action, NexPoint

reserves the right to request abstention. The Defendants have not answered and reserve the right to assert additional affirmative defenses and/or counterclaims.

3. Existing Deadlines, Due Dates and Cutoffs

A proposed Case Management Plan, which is being filed contemporaneously, provides all proposed due dates and cutoff dates. The deadline for Defendants' answers is March 9, 2023. There are no other prospective deadlines at this time.

4. Brief Description of Outstanding Motions

There are no outstanding motions at this time.

5. Brief Description of the Status of Discovery and Additional Discovery to be Completed.

The parties have agreed to exchange initial disclosures by March 20, 2023. No other discovery has been initiated at this time. The parties contemplate fact witness depositions, written discovery and expert depositions. The deadlines for completing this discovery are set forth in the proposed Case Management Plan.

6. Status of Settlement Discussions

There have been no settlement discussions. The parties are exploring the potential for an early mediation. No settlement conference is requested at this time.

7. Length of Trial and Whether the Case is to Tried to a Jury

The Plaintiffs estimate the length of trial at two days. The Defendants estimate the length of trial at four to five days. The Plaintiffs contend that this case will be tried in a non-jury trial based on jury waivers contained in the governing agreements at issue in this case, including but not limited to the Acis CLOs' indentures pursuant to which Defendants acquired their direct or indirect holdings in the Subordinated Notes. *See* Indentures § 14.10; PMAs § 24.

The Defendants intend to demand a jury trial on all disputed issues of fact.

8. Anticipated Motions for Summary Judgment

All parties contemplate the filing of Motions for Summary Judgment.

9. Computation of Any Alleged Damages.

The complaint seeks relief pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act. No damages are alleged at this time.

10. Other Issues

Plaintiffs wish to discuss the timing of dispositive motions, including early summary adjudication pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c) and/or Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.

Defendants also wish to discuss the imposition of a 10-day opportunity to initiate a "claw-back" request for privileged materials following the discovery of the inadvertent disclosure of privileged materials by the producing party.

/s/ Signed by Permission

Jonathan Pickhardt Blair Alexander Adams Michael R. Bloom

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &

SULLIVAN, LLP

51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10010

(212) 849-7000

Counsel for Plaintiffs ACIS Capital

Management, L.P. and Joshua N. Terry

<u>/s/ Sawnie A. McEntire</u>

Sawnie A. McEntire *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*Texas Bar No.: 13590100

Fed ID #3476

PARSONS MCENTIRE MCCLEARY PLLC

1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4400

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 237-4300

Fax: (214) 237-4340

E-mail: smcentire@pmmlaw.com

/s/ Signed by Permission

Mark D. Kotwick Thomas Ross Hooper

Julie J. Hong

STEWARD & KISSEL LLP One Battery Park Plaza New York, New York 10004

(202) 574-1200

Counsel for Plaintiff U.S. Bank, National

Association

Roger L. McCleary *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*Texas Bar No.: 133937000

Fed. ID #205

PARSONS MCENTIRE MCCLEARY PLLC

One Riverway, Suite 1800 Houston, Texas 77056 Telephone: (713) 960-7315

Fax: (713) 960-7347

E-mail: rmccleary@pmmlaw.com

/s/ Signed by Permission

Lindsey R. Skibell

E-mail: rskibell@glennagre.com

Jewel K. Tewiah

E-mail: jtewiah@glennagre.com

GLENN AGRE BERGMAN & FUENTES

LLP

55 Hudson Yards, 20th Floor

New York, NY 10001 Telephone: 212.358.5600

Counsel for CLO Holdco, Ltd. and The Charitable Donor Advised Fund, L.P.

/s/ Signed by Permission____

Mazin A. Sbaiti

New York Bar No. 4339057

mas@sbaitilaw.com

Griffin S. Rubin

Texas Bar No. 24121809 (pro hac vice)

gsr@sbaitilaw.com

SBAITI & COMPANY PLLC

J.P. Morgan Chase Tower

2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 4900W

Dallas, TX 75201

T: 214.432.2899

F: 325.853.4367

Counsel for NexPoint Diversified Real

Estate Trust